## Main questions <br> 1．How are internally headed RCs（IHRCs）derived in Chamorro？ <br> 2．How does head－external meaning arise from head－internal syntax？ <br> Chamorro <br> －Austronesian family（Malayo－Polynesian subgroup） <br> －Mariana Islands；45，000 speakers <br> －Predicate－initial clauses（default VSO）；head－initial phrases <br> 

## Stranding in Chamorro internally headed relative clauses

## 1．Multiple RC Configurations

## Internally headed RC

1）K〈um）åti i［ha＝lalåtdi na påtgun si Maria］．〈SG．AGR）cry the 3SG．AGR＝scold LK child UNM Maria ＇The child that Maria scolded cried．＇

## Head－initial RC

（2）K（um）åti i patgun［ni ha＝lalåtdi si Maria］ （SG．AGR）cry the child COMP 3SG．AGR＝scold UNM Maria ＇The child that Maria scolded cried．＇

## Head－final RC

（3）K〈um〉åti i［ha＝lalåtdi si Maria］na påtgun． （SG．AGR）cry the 3SG．AGR＝scold UNM Maria LK child The child that Maria scolded cried．
－The difference between（2）and（3）might be conceptualized as left－or right－adjunction of the RC，following［1］．

－Internally headed RCs are challenging to fold into the traditional conception of RCs as adjuncts to NP．

## 2．The linker

－Chamorro＇s linker na（inflectional element typical of Western Ma－ layo－Polynesian Igs．）separates nouns from＂modifiers＂［2］．

| Modifier | Example | Translation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adjectives | agaga＇na kareta | ＇a red car＇ |
| Weak quantifiers | bula na kareta | ＇many cars＇ |
| Demonstratives | atyu na kareta | ＇that car＇ |
| Interrogative determiners | håfa na kareta | ＇which car＇ |

－The linker is not occurring within its usual distribution in（1）．

## 3．Analysis：Raising with stranding

 stranded NP（following Fox＇s Trace Conversion［4］）．
$\lambda x .\left[\operatorname{scold}^{\prime}\left(m, l y .\left[\operatorname{child}^{\prime}(y) \wedge y=x\right]\right)\right]$

## 4．Signs of A＇movement

## Island effects

－IHRCS whose head NP is in a head－initial RC or embedded ques－ tion judged to be unacceptable，suggesting island constraints have been violated．
（4）$*_{i}$［bunitu i doktu［ni ha＝tungu＇ the SG．AGR．handsome the doctor COMP 3SG．AGR＝know na mana＇malångu na malångu gias Juan］］ COMP be．made．sick LK patient OBL Juan
（＇the patient who［the doctor who knows that Juan made sick is handsome］＇）
（5） ＊i $_{\text {i }}$［ha＝tungu＇si Juan［håyi muna＇malångu na tåotao］］ the 3 SG．AGR＝know UNM Juan who make．sick LK man
（＇the man who Juan knows who made＿sick＇）

## WH－agreement

－Long－distance dependencies not formed by A＇movement do not exhibit WH－agreement in Chamorro（e．g．topicalization）［1，5］．
－IHRCs exhibit the same（optional）WH－agreement that head－ initial and head－final RCs do（6－7）．

## （6）i［g〈um）aluti yu＇na palåo＇an nigap］ the 〈WH［NOM］AGR〉club me LK woman yesterday ＇the woman who clubbed me yesterday＇

（7）i［k〈in〉enni＇Manuel na palåo＇an para i giput］ the（WH［OBJ］AGR）take．LK Manuel LK woman to the party ＇the woman who Manuel took to the party＇［6］

## 5．NP Stranding in other $A^{\prime}$ domains

－NPs can also be stranded by overt determiners in constituent questions（8）and focus sentences（9）．
（8）Bula［man－oggan na tåotao gi tasi］． many PL．AGR－be．stranded LK PL．person LOC sea ＇There were many people stranded at sea．＇1

## （9）Håfa［un＝tånum na tinanum siha gi gualu which 2SG．AGR＝plant LK plant PL LOC garden gi ma＇pus na simåna］？

LOC last LK week
＇Which plants did you plant in the garden last week？
－That this occurs with overt determiners in other $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ constructions lends credibility to the stranding analysis for IHRCs．

## A concern

－Other Austronesian Igs．are known to form constituent questions and sentences like（8－9）as Headless Relative Clefts（HRC）［7，8，9］
－HRC analysis：Clause－initial determiners in（8－9）would be non－ verbal predicates；remainder would be a headless RC in Spec，TP．
－Prediction：Negative determiners that serve as predicates in a HRC construction could not license negative concord items in the remainder $\rightarrow$ too low to $c$－command negative concord item［10］．
－Not born out：fronted negative determiner can be associated with a stranded NP and license a negative concord item（10）．
（10）Ni unu［n〈in〉a＇i－hu na påtgun ni håfafa ha＇ NEG one 〈WH［OBJ］AGR）give－1SG LK child NEG anything EMP gi kumpliaños－ña］．
LOC birthday－3SG．POSS
Not one of the children did I give a single thing to on their birthday．＇

## Conclusions

－Chamorro IHRCs appear to be derived via $A^{\prime}$ movement．
－$A^{\prime}$ movement is a common ingredient in RC derivation，even in RCs with no apparent movement．
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